

Section 5

Amendment to RFQ, Paragraph 1.4. Paragraph 1.4 of the RFQ is amended to add the following:

Financial statements are not public records and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

Amendment to RFQ, Paragraph 3. Paragraph 3 of the RFQ is not modified by this Addendum, but the Architect Agreement referenced in Paragraph 3 of the RFQ and attached to the RFQ is replaced in its entirety by the form of Architect Agreement attached to this RFQ as Addendum Attachment 1.

Amendment to RFQ, Paragraph 4.4.5. Paragraph 4.4.5 of the RFQ is deleted in its entirety and is replaced by the following:

Tab 2 – Financial Statements. Include the Respondent's financial statement for: (i) the 2015 calendar year or the 2015/2016 fiscal year, as applicable; and (ii) January 1, 2016– September 30, 2016. The District will accept financial statements that are not prepared by a CPA and financial statements that are compiled, reviewed or audited by a CPA. Financial statements that are not prepared by a CPA or that are compiled/reviewed by a CPA are subject to independent verification by the District.

Clarification to RFQ, Paragraph 4.4.9. Comments to, or acceptance of, the Architect Agreement in Tab 6 of RFQ Responses must be for the Architect Agreement attached as Section Attachment 2 and not the Architect Agreement issued with the RFQ.

Amendment to RFQ, Paragraph 4.4.10. Paragraph 4.4.10 of the RFQ is deleted in its entirety and is replaced by the following:

Complete the form of Proposal attached to this Addendum as Section Attachment 3 and include the executed/completed form of Proposal in Tab 7 of RFQ Responses.

Amendment to RFQ, Paragraph 4.5. Paragraph 4.5 of the RFQ and the selection criteria described in Paragraph 4.5 of the RFQ are deleted in their entirety and replaced with the following:

Respondents will be evaluated based on the following evaluation criteria. The evaluation criteria is equally weighted. Each evaluation criteria will be separately scored by each member of the Selection Committee on a scale of 1 (low) to 10 (high).

Relevant Experience and Ability

Minimum of 5 years of successfully providing A/E services for all phases on Community College projects of \$15M or greater (based on relevant size and complexity)

Measures to ensure design documents conform to the requirements of project (scope, budget and schedule)

Quality assurance and quality control measures during design and implementation (relevant projects and size)

DSA experience (project complexity and ability to navigate the approval process)

State Agencies (CCCCO, DOF and CPWB) experience with the planning, review and approval processes (project relevant size and quantity)

Construction phase activities administrative level of experience (on relevant size projects)

Sustainable Design/LEED design practices and certification experience (of the firm)

Demonstrated understanding and experience in a shared governance system within Community Colleges

Proposed Design Team

Demonstrated prior experience/capabilities of completing relevant size and quantity of projects

Complete resumes of key design members

Sustainable design/LEED design experience and accreditation (of the proposed design team members)

Clear and concise organizational chart including sub-consultants and proposed design team members

Design team's experience during construction phase

Client responsiveness/shared governance experience

Availability/dedication of the team and firm to complete projects on schedule

Amendment to RFQ, Paragraph 4.7. Paragraph 4.7 of the RFQ is deleted in its entirety and replaced by the following:

The District's Board of Trustees will have the exclusive authority to award the Architect Agreement. It is anticipated that the Board of Trustees will award at least four (4) and not more than six (6) Architect Agreements; the foregoing notwithstanding, the number of Architect Agreements awarded is in the sole and exclusive discretion of the Board of Trustees. Award of the Architect Agreements will be to the Respondents submitting the highest scored evaluation criteria, provided that the Board of Trustees has discretion to award an Architect Agreement to a Respondent with a lower evaluation criteria score than other Respondents if the Board of Trustees establishes the basis for such exercise of discretion.